|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CMM 10-02 02**  **(ii) Catch and effort reporting for target species** | Flag States shall require their vessels to submit reports, directly, or through such organisations designated by the flag state to the Commission at least 6 hours prior to entry and no later than 6 hours prior to exiting the E-HSP. Such reports shall also contain estimated catch (kilograms) on board. This information shall immediately be transmitted by the Commission Secretariat to the adjacent coastal states/territories, and shall be considered non-public domain data. The report shall be in the following format:  VID/Entry/Exit: Date/Time1; Lat/Long1; YFT/BET/ALB/SKJ/SWO/SHK/OTH/TOT(kgs) /TRANSHIPMENT (Y/N)  Footnote 1: of anticipated point of entry and exit |

| **Applicable CCMs** | **Limit/Reporting Requirements** | **2011 Assessment** | **2012 Implementation** | | **TCC Assessment** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Cook Islands | Reporting requirement = entry/exit report to the EHSP |  | YES (fully implemented) | AR Pt 2 2013: One Cook Islands Flagged Vessels transited through the E-HSP on a humanitarian trip to Penrhyn Atoll (Cook Is.). The vessel complied with all its reporting requirements to the Commission.   Count of vessels detected in ESHP by WCPFC VMS= 3   Count of alerts that are generated by the WCPFC VMS for vessels entering or exiting the EHSP-SMA of the Convention Area : VMS Entry alert = 1 entry alert from1 FV VMS Exit alert =2 exit alert from 2 FVs WCPFC did not receive any manual position reports during 2012 for this CCMs vessels   Count of Manual Reports received by WCPFC reporting entry and exit by fishing vessels into EHSP-SMA during 2012: CCM flagged vessel provided manual entry report for EHSP-SMA = 2 report from2 FVs CCM flagged vessel provided manual exit report for EHSP-SMA = 2 report from 2 FVs (Assuming good compliance with WCPFC VMS reporting requirements, the number of reports should match the number of vessels that were detected on VMS) |  |
| China |  |  | YES (fully implemented) | Public Notice is made to each vessel owner of the CMM. Entry-Exit report is made by the vessel owner to WCPFC and adjacent coastal states/territories. Such communication is also copied to Chinese fishery authority for data record.   Count of vessels detected in ESHP by WCPFC VMS: 58 (WCPFC did not receive any manual position reports for EHSP-SMA during 2012 for this CCMs vessels)   Count of alerts that are generated by the WCPFC VMS for vessels entering or exiting the EHSP-SMA of the Convention Area : Entry alert = 57 entry alert from 52 FV Exit alert = 48 exit alert from 35 FVs   Count of Manual Reports received by WCPFC reporting entry and exit by fishing vessels into EHSP-SMA during 2012 CCM flagged vessel provided manual entry report for EHSP-SMA  = 79 report from 39 FVs CCM flagged vessel provided manual exit report for EHSP-SMA = 73 exit from 38 FVs (Assuming good compliance with WCPFC VMS reporting requirements, the number of reports should match the number of vessels that were detected on VMS)    *Each vessel entering/exiting the EHSP-SMA is required to report directly to the Commission and other CCMs as specified in the Measure. Para 5 of CMM 2010-02 says “The Commission Secretariat shall maintain a ‘live list’ of all fishing vessels present in the E-HSP, based on near-real time VMS information. This list will be made available to Commission Members through the WCPFC website.” Unfortunately, such “live list”, which would assist CCMs to monitor their vessels even if they did not make entry-exit report as required by the Measure, seems not available on the Commission website until now. China will crosscheck the entry/exit list by the Commission against that collected by China and will urge those vessel owners that did not make such report to strengthen compliance.* |  |
| Fiji |  |  | YES (fully implemented) | Count of vessels detected in ESHP by WCPFC VMS: 23 (WCPFC did not receive any manual position reports for EHSP-SMA during 2012 for this CCMs vessels)  Count of alerts that are generated by the WCPFC VMS for vessels entering or exiting the EHSP-SMA of the Convention Area : VMS Entry alert = 15 entry alert from 15 FVs; VMS Exit alert = 23 exit alert from 18 FVs   Count of Manual Reports received by WCPFC reporting entry and exit by fishing vessels into EHSP-SMA during 2012: CCM flagged vessel provided manual entry report for EHSP-SMA = 12 report from 9 FVs CCM flagged vessel provided manual exit report for EHSP-SMA = 11 exit from 6 FVs (Assuming good compliance with WCPFC VMS reporting requirements, the number of reports should match the number of vessels that were detected on VMS)    *The identified shortfalls was brought to Fiji's attention at the TCC8 and this was addressed by engaging a full-time VMS officer solely to monitor Fiji flagged vessels in place of part-time VMS officers who are full-time boarding and inspection officers. In addition all High Seas Authorised Fiji flagged vessels were cautioned and regularly reminded on the reporting requirements of the CMMs mandated by the December 2012 Offshore Fisheries Management Decree . This has resulted in a marked improvement on the entry/ exit notifications to the EHSP - SMA in 2013.* |  |
| Japan |  |  | YES (fully implemented) | Count of vessels detected in ESHP by WCPFC VMS: 1 (WCPFC did not receive any manual position reports for EHSP-SMA during 2012 for this CCMs vessels)  Count of alerts that are generated by the WCPFC VMS for vessels entering or exiting the EHSP-SMA of the Convention Area VMS Entry alert = 1 entry alert from 1 FV; VMS Exit alert = 1 exit alert from 1 FV   Count of Manual Reports received by WCPFC reporting entry and exit by fishing vessels into EHSP-SMA during 2012: Entry report = 2 entry report from 2 FVs Exit report = 2 exit report from 2 FVs (Assuming good compliance with WCPFC VMS reporting requirements, the number of reports should match the number of vessels that were detected on VMS) |  |
| Kiribati |  |  |  | Count of vessels detected in ESHP by WCPFC VMS: 2 (WCPFC did not receive any manual position reports for EHSP-SMA during 2012 for this CCMs vessels)  Count of alerts that are generated by the WCPFC VMS for vessels entering or exiting the EHSP-SMA of the Convention Area : VMS Entry alert = 3 entry alert from 2 FV; VMS Exit alert = 2 exit alert from 1 FV   Count of Manual Reports received by WCPFC reporting entry and exit by fishing vessels into EHSP-SMA during 2012: CCM flagged vessel provided manual entry report for EHSP-SMA = 4 report from 2 FVs CCM flagged vessel provided manual exit report for EHSP-SMA  = 4 exit from 2 FVs (Assuming good compliance with WCPFC VMS reporting requirements, the number of reports should match the number of vessels that were detected on VMS) |  |
| Korea (Republic of) |  |  | YES (fully implemented) |  |  |
| Panama |  |  | YES (fully implemented) | Count of vessels detected in ESHP by WCPFC VMS: 2    There were no Manual Reports received by WCPFC reporting entry and exit by fishing vessels into EHSP-SMA during 2012 |  |
| El Salvador |  |  | YES (fully implemented) |  |  |
| Chinese Taipei |  |  | YES (fully implemented) | Our fishing vessels are required to directly or through the Fishery Radio Stations or Taiwan Tuna Association to submit reports, by fax or email, to the Secretariat prior to entry and exiting the Eastern High-Seas Pocket Special Management Area (E-HSP).   Count of vessels detected in ESHP by WCPFC VMS: 30 (WCPFC did not receive any manual position reports for EHSP-SMA during 2012 for this CCMs vessels)   Count of alerts that are generated by the WCPFC VMS for vessels entering or exiting the EHSP-SMA of the Convention Area : VMS Entry alert = 38 entry alert from 21 LL FVs; VMS Exit alert = 46 exit alert from 28 LL FVs   Count of Manual Reports received by WCPFC reporting entry and exit by fishing vessels into EHSP-SMA during 2012: Entry report = 80 report from 24 vessels  [*On 13 Sept 2013 WCFPC corrected the number of manual  entry reports from* 67 report from 8 FVs *to 80 reports from 24 vessels]* Exit report = 73 exit from 23 FVs *[On 13 Sept 2013 WCFPC corrected the number of manual  entry reports from 71report from 5 FVs to 73 reports from 23 vessels]* (Assuming good compliance with WCPFC VMS reporting requirements, the number of reports should match the number of vessels that were detected on VMS)   *We found 4 vessels did enter/exit the EHP-SMA without submitting manual entry or exit report in 2012. Fishing licenses of those four vessels were suspended for one month as the penalty for non-compliance.* |  |
| United States of America |  |  | NO (not implemented) | The U.S. is in the process of developing regulations and improving its data management systems such that the requirements under CMM 2010-02 will be fully implemented. The U.S. published a final rule (found under 50 CFR Part 300) to implement specific provisions of CMM 2010-02 regarding the Eastern SMA notification requirements on December 3, 2012, which went into effect on 2 January 2013. Although these provisions were not implemented in 2012, the provisions became effective 2 January 2013.     There were no Manual Reports received by WCPFC reporting entry and exit by fishing vessels into EHSP-SMA during 2012  *The United States published a final rule in December 2012, and the requirements became effective on January 2, 2013.* |  |
| Vanuatu |  |  | YES (fully implemented) | All  national vessels are required by Law to comply to the requirements   Count of vessels detected in ESHP by WCPFC VMS: 5 (WCPFC did not receive any manual position reports for EHSP-SMA during 2012 for this CCMs vessels)  Count of alerts that are generated by the WCPFC VMS for vessels entering or exiting the EHSP-SMA of the Convention Area : VMS Entry alert = 3 entry alert from 3 FVs; VMS Exit alert = 3 exit alert from 3 FVs   Count of Manual Reports received by WCPFC reporting entry and exit by fishing vessels into EHSP-SMA during 2012: CCM flagged vessel provided manual entry report for EHSP = 8 report from 6 FVs CCM flagged vessel provided manual exit report for EHSP-SMA  = 8 exit from 7 FVs (Assuming good compliance with WCPFC VMS reporting requirements, the number of reports should match the number of vessels that were detected on VMS) |  |
| European Union |  |  | YES (fully implemented) | The EU vessels have not entered the E-HSP in 2012. |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CMM 10-02 06**  **(ii) Catch and effort reporting for target species** | Any transhipment activities undertaken in the E-HSP shall be in accordance with applicable CMMs. Vessels intending to tranship (either unloading or receiving) in the E-HSP shall indicate this to the Commission, in the reporting format as described in paragraph 2 of this measure. |

| **Applicable CCMs** | **Limit/Reporting Requirements** | **2011 Assessment** | **2012 Implementation** | | **TCC Assessment** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Belize | Reporting requirement = indicate to Commission, in the reporting format in para.2, if vessel intend to tranship in the EHSP |  |  |  |  |
| Cook Islands |  |  | AR Pt 2: No Cook Is flagged vessels transshipped at sea on the high seas |  |
| China |  |  |  |  |
| Ecuador |  |  |  |  |
| Fiji |  |  | Fiji vessels are not allowed to tranship in the high seas unless under force majeure (AR Pt 2, 2013) |  |
| Federated States of Micronesia |  |  |  |  |
| Indonesia |  |  |  |  |
| Japan |  |  | No indication from Japanese vessels reporting entry into the EHSP that it transhipped in the EHSP SMA |  |
| Kiribati |  |  |  |  |
| Korea (Republic of) |  |  | as above |  |
| Marshall Islands |  |  |  |  |
| New Caledonia |  |  | No New Caledonian vessel fishing east of 180°E |  |
| New Zealand |  |  | This is a condition of the New Zealand High Seas Fishing Permit.    The activities of all NZ flagged vessels operting in the WCPO are also monitored closely via VMS, observers, at sea and in port inspections and also by way of logsheet analysis. |  |
| Panama |  |  |  |  |
| Papua New Guinea |  |  | AR Pt 1 (pg 8): All PNG-flagged longline vessels fish entirely in PNG waters and do not fish waters beyond areas under national jurisdiction. |  |
| El Salvador |  |  | No indication of El Salvador vessels in the EHSP in VMS alerts/manual report |  |
| Chinese Taipei |  |  | Entry/Exit report received indicated "No" to transhipment |  |
| United States of America |  |  |  |  |
| Vanuatu |  |  | EHSP entry/exit reports received from VU flag vessels did not indicate it will tranship in EHSP SMA |  |
| European Union |  |  | There is a prohibition of transhipment in the HS for EU vessels. |  |